



Analysis of Canvass of Candidates 2016


This analysis is based on the responses from 61 candidates to four questions regarding the future use of the Ōtākaro red zone. This involved some interpretation of responses into a number of possible categories - this is by necessity a subjective process, however we have attempted to be as objective as possible.

Question 1: Do you support a multipurpose city-to-sea River Park that meets diverse community needs eg for environmental regeneration and restoration; recognition of cultural and community heritage; play, recreation and sport; food production; arts and entertainment; learning, training, employment, small business and tourism?

Q1	Nbr
Absolutely Yes!	19
Yes	29
Yes In Principle	5
Community's Decision	7

Do you support a multipurpose city-to-sea River Park that meets diverse needs

■ Absolutely Yes!
 ■ Yes
 ■ Yes In Principle
 ■ Community's Decision




Question 2: While allowing for multiple uses as above, do you support the maximum possible restoration of native ecosystems within the Ōtākaro Red Zone, to enhance water quality, biodiversity and mahinga kai values (i.e: all other uses fit within a native forest and wetland park)?

Q2	Nbr
Absolutely Yes!	27
Yes	23
Yes In Principle	5
Community's Decision	5

While allowing for multiple uses, do you support the maximum possible restoration of native ecosystems within the Ōtākaro Red Zone

■ Absolutely Yes! ■ Yes ■ Yes In Principle ■ Community's Decision




Question 3: Do you support that the basis for deciding future use should be purely financial return to the Crown, or do you support that significant consideration should also be given to the economic value of cultural, social and environmental returns and benefits for the communities, city and country?

Q3	Nbr
Value of benefits are a higher priority	29
Value of benefits are equally important	27
Community's decision	4

Do you support purely financial return, or consideration of the economic value of cultural, social and environmental benefits

■ Value of benefits are a higher priority
 ■ Value of benefits are equally important
 ■ Community's decision



Question 4: Do you support the redevelopment of red zone land for residential use? If yes, to what extent, where in the red zone, how and why?

Q4	Nbr
Not at all!	21
Community's Decision	2
To a limited extent depending upon community's wishes	4
To a limited extent where possible/sustainable/necessary	23
To a limited extent	3
Maybe	2
Insufficient information on the technical capability of the land	3
Dont Know	2

Do you support the redevelopment of red zone land for residential use?

- Not at all!
- Community's Decision
- To a limited extent depending upon community's wishes
- To a limited extent where possible/sustainable/necessary
- To a limited extent
- Maybe
- Insufficient information on the technical capability of the land
- Dont Know

